arXiv:0712.0684v1 [math.CV] 5 Dec 2007

Compact embeddings of model subspaces of the Hardy space

Anton D. Baranov

Abstract. We study embeddings of model (star-invariant) subspaces K^p_Θ of the Hardy space H^p, associated with an inner function Θ. We obtain a criterion for the compactness of the embedding of K^p_Θ into $L^p(\mu)$ analogous to the Volberg–Treil theorem on bounded embeddings and answer a question posed by Cima and Matheson. The proof is based on Bernstein inequalities for functions in K^p_Θ. Also we study measures μ such that the embedding operator belongs to a Schatten–von Neumann ideal.

Keywords. Hardy space, inner function, model subspace, Bernstein inequality, Carleson measure, Schatten–von Neumann ideal.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disc and let $\mathbb{T} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle. We denote by m the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. A function Θ which is analytic and bounded in $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be *inner* if $|\Theta| = 1$ m-a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ in the sense of nontangential boundary values. Recall that each inner function Θ admits the factorization (up to a constant unimodular factor)

$$\Theta(z) = B(z) I_\psi(z)$$

where

$$B(z) = \prod_n \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \cdot \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \overline{z}_n z}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

is the *Blaschke product* with zeros $z_n \in \mathbb{D}$, and the sequence $\{z_n\}$ satisfies the Blaschke condition $\sum_n (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$ (we assume $|z_n|/z_n = 1$ if $z_n = 0$). The *singular inner function* I_ψ is defined by the formula

$$I_\psi(z) = \exp\Bigg(- \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z}\, d\psi(\zeta) \Bigg), \qquad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where ψ is a finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{T}$ singular with respect to m.

Let H^p denote the Hardy space in $\mathbb{D}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. With each inner function Θ we associate the subspace

$$K^p_\Theta = H^p \cap \Theta \overline{H^p_0}$$

where $H^p_0 = \{f \in H^p : f(0) = 0\} = zH^p$. Equivalently, one can define K^p_Θ as the set of all functions f in H^p such that $\langle f, \Theta g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f\,\overline{\Theta g}\,dm = 0$ for any $g \in H^q$, $1/p+1/q = 1$. Note that $K^2_\Theta = H^2 \ominus \Theta H^2$. It is well known that, for $1 \le p < \infty$, any closed subspace of H^p invariant with respect to the backward shift $(S^*f)(z) = \frac{f(z)-f(0)}{z}$ is of the form K^p_Θ for some inner function Θ (see [20, Chapter II] and [2]). Subspaces K^p_Θ are often called *star-invariant subspaces.* These subspaces play an outstanding role both in function and operator theory (see [12, 22, 25, 26]) and, in particular, in the Nagy–Foias model for contractions in a Hilbert space (therefore they are sometimes refered to as *model subspaces*). Note that if Θ is a Blaschke product, then K^p_Θ coincides with the closed linear span of simple fractions with the poles of corresponding multiplicities at the points $1/\overline{z}_n$.

Let $\sigma(\Theta)$ be the so-called *spectrum* of the inner function Θ, that is, the set of all $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that $\liminf\limits_{z\to\zeta,\, z\in\mathbb{D}} |\Theta(z)| = 0$. Equivalently, $\sigma(\Theta)$ is the smallest closed subset of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ containing zeros z_n and the support of the measure ψ. Clearly, Θ, as well as any element of K^p_Θ, has an analytic extension across any subarc of the set $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta)$.

In the present paper we study the following problem: given an inner function Θ and $p \ge 1$, describe the class of Borel measures μ in the closed disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that the space K^p_Θ is embedded into $L^p(\mu)$ or such that the embedding is compact. This problem was posed by Cohn in 1982 [14]: in spite of a number of partial results it is still open. The embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu)$ is equivalent to the estimate

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mu)} \le C\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta. \tag{1}$$

The class of such measures μ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$.

Recall that a finite Borel measure μ in the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is said to be a *Carleson measure* if there is a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\mu(S(I)) \le M|I| \tag{2}$$

for any arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$. Here and in what follows we denote by $|I|$ the length of an arc I, and by $S(I)$ the *Carleson square*

$$S(I) = \{z = \rho e^{i\varphi} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} :\ e^{i\varphi} \in I,\ 1 - (2\pi)^{-1}|I| \le \rho \le 1\}. \tag{3}$$

We denote the class of Carleson measures by $\mathcal{C}$; for a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ we denote by M_μ the smallest constant M in (2). The classical Carleson theorem states that $H^p \subset L^p(\mu)$ for some (any) $p > 0$ if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$. The embedding $H^p \subset L^p(\mu)$ is compact if and only if μ is a *vanishing Carleson measure*, that is,

$$\lim_{|I|\to 0} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|} = 0 \tag{4}$$

(see [30]; some generalizations can be found in [9]).

Obviously, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$. One may expect that the class $\mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$ will depend essentially on geometric properties of Θ. At present the class $\mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$ is described explicitly only for some very special classes of inner functions. We say that an inner function satisfies the *connected level set condition* (we write $\Theta \in CLS$) if the level set

$$\Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\Theta(z)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is connected for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Cohn [14] showed that if $\Theta \in CLS$, then it suffices to verify inequality (1) for the reproducing kernels of the space K^2_Θ (see Section 2 for the definition). Recently Nazarov and Volberg [27] showed that this is no longer true in the general case.

A geometric condition on μ sufficient for the embedding of K^p_Θ is due to Volberg and Treil [32]: the embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu)$ takes place if there is an $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mu(S(I)) \le C|I|$ for all squares $S(I)$ such that

$$S(I) \cap \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon) \ne \emptyset.$$

Thus, we should check the Carleson condition (2) only for squares of the special form. Denote by $\mathcal{C}(\Theta)$ the class of measures satisfying the conditions of the Volberg–Treil theorem for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. It was proved by Aleksandrov [4] that the condition $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\Theta)$ is necessary (that is $\mathcal{C}_p(\Theta) = \mathcal{C}(\Theta)$) if and only if $\Theta \in CLS$. Moreover, if Θ is not a CLS function, then the class $\mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$, $p > 0$, depends essentially on the exponent p (in contrast to the classical Carleson theorem). Some other embedding theorems were obtained in [4, 15, 18], compact embeddings are considered in [11, 15, 31].

Of special interest is the case when $\mu = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n \delta_{\lambda_n}$ is a discrete measure; then embedding is equivalent to the Bessel property for the system of reproducing kernels $\{k_{\lambda_n}\}$. Also the particular case when μ is a measure on the unit circle is of great importance. In contrast to the embeddings of the whole Hardy class H^p (note that Carleson measures on $\mathbb{T}$ are measures with bounded density with respect to Lebesgue measure m), the class $\mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$ always contains nontrivial examples of singular measures on $\mathbb{T}$; in particular, for $p = 2$, the Clark measures [13] for which the embedding $K^2_\Theta \subset L^2(\mu)$ is isometric. On the other hand, if $\mu = wm$, $w \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, then the embedding problem is related to the properties of the Toeplitz operator T_w (see [15]).

A new approach to the embedding theorems was suggested by the author in [5, 7]. It is based on Bernstein inequalities for K^p_Θ. By a Bernstein inequality we mean an estimate for a weighted norm of the derivative f' in terms of the standard L^p-norm of $f \in K^p_\Theta$, that is, an estimate of the form

$$\|f'\|_{L^p(\mu)} \le C\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta, \tag{5}$$

where μ is a measure in the closed disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This approach made possible to obtain essentially new embedding theorems generalizing the Volberg–Treil theorem as well as certain theorems due to Cohn. One more application of Bernstein inequalities is connected with the stability of Bessel sequences and Riesz bases of reproducing kernels [8]. The results in [7, 8] are obtained in the context of the Hardy spaces in the half-plane. In Section 3 we discuss their analogs for the disc.

In the present paper we apply Bernstein inequalities for K^p_Θ to study compactness and Schatten–von Neumann class properties of the embeddings. One of our main results is a geometric condition sufficient for the compactness of the embedding which is similar to the Volberg–Treil Theorem. Now we need to verify the "vanishing condition" (4) only for squares intersecting the level sets. This condition turns out to be also necessary in the case of CLS inner functions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$*, let* μ *be a Borel measure on* $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$*, and let* $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$*. Then* (i) *implies* (ii) *where*

(i) *for each* $\eta > 0$ *there exists a* $\delta > 0$ *such that* $\mu(S(I))/|I| < \eta$ *whenever* $|I| < \delta$ *and* $S(I) \cap \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$*;*

(ii) *the subspace* K^p_Θ *is compactly embedded in* $L^p(\mu)$*.*

Conversly, if $\Theta \in CLS$*, then* (ii) *implies* (i)*.*

Implication $(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$ for CLS inner functions was proved by Cima and Matheson in [11], where the question was posed whether the converse is true. Theorem 1.1 provides a positive answer. Also in [11] another vanishing condition for a measure μ was introduced which is sufficient for the compactness of the embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu)$ for any $p > 0$. We show (see Proposition 4.1) that this condition implies condition (i) of Theorem 1.1 (thus, we answer one more question posed in [11]). Theorem 1.1 is deduced from a more general embedding theorem (Theorem 3.1) which is an extension of the Volberg–Treil theorem.

In Sections 5-6 conditions ensuring the inclusion of the embedding operator $\mathcal{J}_\mu : K^2_\Theta \to L^2(\mu)$, $\mathcal{J}_\mu f = f$, into the Schatten–von Neumann ideal $\mathcal{S}_r$ are studied. We give a complete description of such measures for the case $\Theta \in CLS$ and $r \geq 1$. For $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ consider a Whitney-type decomposition of the set $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta)$ into the union of arcs I_k with the property

$$dist\,(I_k, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \asymp |I_k|$$

(see Section 3, Lemma 3.5, for the details).

Theorem 1.2. *Let* μ *be a Borel measure with* $supp\,\mu \subset \bigcup_k S(I_k)$*. Assume that for some* $r > 0$*,*

$$\sum_k \left(\frac{\mu(S(I_k))}{|I_k|} \right)^{r/2} < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Then $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_r$*.*

We denote by $R_{n,m}$ the elements of the standard dyadic partition of the disc (see the definition in Section 5). Then we have the following necessary condition.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$*. If* $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_r$*,* $r \geq 1$*, then*

$$\sum_{R_{n,m} \cap \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset} (2^n \mu(R_{n,m}))^{r/2} < \infty. \tag{7}$$

For CLS inner functions the statements converse to Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are also true; in this case we give a complete description of embeddings of a class $\mathcal{S}_r$, $r \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Theta \in CLS$, let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, and let μ be a Borel measure in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then the embedding operator $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_r$, $r \geq 1$, if and only if μ satisfies (6) and (7).*

Our conditions, stated in terms of dyadic partition of the disc, are similar to a theorem of Luecking [24] which characterizes Schatten–von Neumann class embeddings of the whole Hardy class, as well as to results of Parfenov [28, 29]. For further discussion see Sections 5-6.

As in [7, 8], our main tools in the present paper are Bernstein inequalities for K^p_Θ. For the sake of completeness we include a discussion of these results which are of independent interest. Moreover, in contrast to [7], we give estimates for higher order derivatives as well. Now we state two results of this type. The first of them shows that the growth of the derivative is controlled by the distance to a level set. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ let $d_\varepsilon(\zeta) = dist\,(\zeta, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon))$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$\|f^{(n)} \cdot d_\varepsilon^n\|_p \leq C(p,n,\varepsilon)\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta.$$

Let $\Theta \in CLS$. Then the boundary spectrum $\sigma(\Theta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ has zero Lebesgue measure [3]; thus, the nth derivative $f^{(n)}(\zeta)$ is well-defined for almost all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\Theta \in CLS$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{\|k_z\|_2^{2n}} \right|^p d\mu(z) \leq C(\Theta, p, n, \mu)\|f\|_p^p, \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta, \tag{8}$$

where $\|k_z\|_2$ denotes the L^2-norm of the reproducing kernel of K^2_Θ. In particular,

$$\|f^{(n)} \cdot |\Theta'|^{-n}\|_p \leq C(\Theta, p, n)\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta. \tag{9}$$

Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 are corollaries of a much more general, but somewhat more complicated Bernstein inequality which will be proved in Section 2 (Theorem 2.1).

We make use of the following notations: given nonnegative functions g and h, we write $g \lesssim h$ if $g \leq Ch$ for a positive constant C and all admissible values of the variables; we write $g \asymp h$ if $g \lesssim h \lesssim g$. Letters C, C_1, etc. will denote various positive constants which may change their values in different occurrences.

2. Bernstein inequalities for higher order derivatives

From now on we assume that $p \in [1, \infty)$ and q is the conjugate exponent, that is, $1/p + 1/q = 1$; by L^p we denote the standard space $L^p(\mathbb{T}, m)$.

We start with a discussion of the local behavior of the elements of model subspaces and their derivatives near the boundary. In what follows, the reproducing kernels play the most prominent role. The function

$$k_z(\zeta) = \frac{1 - \overline{\Theta(z)}\Theta(\zeta)}{1 - \overline{z}\zeta}$$

is the reproducing kernel of the space K^2_Θ corresponding to a point $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $k_z \in K^\infty_\Theta$, we have

$$f(z) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} f(\tau)\overline{k_z(\tau)}\, dm(\tau), \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta, \tag{10}$$

for any $1 \le p \le \infty$. We have an analogous representation for the nth derivative,

$$f^{(n)}(z) = n! \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\tau}^n f(\tau)(\overline{k_z(\tau)})^{n+1}\, dm(\tau), \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta, \tag{11}$$

which follows from the fact that $(1-\tau\overline{z})^{n+1} - (k_z(\tau))^{n+1} \in \Theta H^\infty$.

Integral representations (10)–(11) may be extended to certain points $z = \zeta$ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. It is well known that any element of K^p_Θ has an analytic extension across any subarc of the set $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta)$, and thus (10)–(11) hold for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta)$. The boundary behavior at the points of the spectrum is more subtle and depends on the "density" of the spectrum near the given point. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, put

$$S_q(\zeta) = \sum_n \frac{1-|z_n|^2}{|\zeta - z_n|^q} + \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\psi(\tau)}{|\zeta - \tau|^q}.$$

Then, by the results of Ahern and Clark [1] and Cohn [16], $f^{(n)}(\zeta)$ (understood in the sense of nontangential boundary values) exists for any $f \in K^p_\Theta$ if and only if $S_{(n+1)q}(\zeta) < \infty$; in this case $k^{n+1}_\zeta \in K^q_\Theta$ and (11) holds with $z = \zeta$. The quantity S_2, which is responsible for the inclusion $k_\zeta \in K^2_\Theta$, is of special importance. In the case when Θ has a nontagential limit at ζ and $\Theta(\zeta) \in \mathbb{T}$, it coincides with the modulus of the nontangential derivative of Θ at ζ (by the nontangential derivative we mean $\lim\limits_{z\to\zeta} \frac{\Theta(z)-\Theta(\zeta)}{z-\zeta}$ where z tends to ζ nontangentially):

$$|\Theta'(\zeta)| = \sum_n \frac{1-|z_n|^2}{|\zeta - z_n|^2} + \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\psi(\tau)}{|\zeta - \tau|^2}.$$

Our main result in this section is the following weighted Bernstein inequality of the form (5) which holds for an arbitrary inner function Θ and for the measures of the form $w\mu$ where μ is a Carleson measure and the weight $w(z)$ depends on the norm of the kernel k^{n+1}_z in L^q (that is, in essence, on the norm of the functional $f \mapsto f^{(n)}(z)$, $f \in K^p_\Theta$). Put

$$w_{p,n}(z) = \|k^{n+1}_z\|_q^{-\frac{pn}{pn+1}}.$$

We assume $\|k^{n+1}_\zeta\|_q = \infty$ and $w_{p,n}(\zeta) = 0$ whenever $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and $S_{(n+1)q}(\zeta) = \infty$; thus $f^{(n)}(z)w_{p,n}(z)$ is well-defined for any $f \in K^p_\Theta$, $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$, $1 \le p < \infty$. Then the operator*

$$(T_{p,n}f)(z) = f^{(n)}(z)w_{p,n}(z)$$

is of weak type (p,p) *as an operator from* K^p_Θ *to* $L^p(\mu)$ *and is bounded as an operator from* K^r_Θ *to* $L^r(\mu)$ *for any* $r > p$*; moreover there is a constant* $C = C(M_\mu, p, r, n)$ *such that*

$$\|f^{(n)} w_{p,n}\|_{L^r(\mu)} \le C\|f\|_r, \qquad f \in K^r_\Theta. \tag{12}$$

To apply Theorem 2.1, one should have effective estimates of the considered weights, that is, of the norms of reproducing kernels. For $p = 2$ we have an explicit formula: $\|k_z\|_2^2 = \frac{1-|\Theta(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\|k_\zeta\|_2^2 = |\Theta'(\zeta)|$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. For $\Theta \in CLS$, sharp estimates for the norms are known (see (15) below). We also relate the weight $w_{p,n}$ to geometric properties of the level sets of the function Θ:

$$d_\varepsilon(\zeta) \lesssim w_{p,n}(\zeta) \lesssim |\Theta'(\zeta)|^{-1}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{13}$$

For the proof we refer to [7, Lemma 4.5] where the inequality is obtained for $n = 1$; the arguments extend to general n in an obvious way. Some close results may be found in [4].

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the integral representation (11) which reduces the study of differentiation operator to the study of certain singular integral operators. We deduce inequality (12) from the boundedness of the following integral operators in L^p-spaces associated with Carleson measures [7, Theorems 3.1, 3.2].

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$*, and let* h *be a nonnegative function in* $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$*, measurable with respect to* μ *and* m*, and such that* $h(z) \ge A(1-|z|)$*,* $z \in \mathbb{D}$*, for some constant* $A > 0$*. Put*

$$Tf(z) = h(z) \int\limits_{|\zeta - z| \ge h(z)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{|\zeta - z|^2}\, dm(\zeta), \qquad z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Then T *is of weak type* $(1,1)$ *as an operator from* L^1 *to* $L^1(\mu)$ *and* T *is a bounded operator from* L^p *to* $L^p(\mu)$ *for any* $p > 1$*. Moreover, the norm of* T *does not exceed some constant* C *which depends only on* p*,* A *and the Carleson constant* M_μ *of the measure* μ*.*

We also consider a class of integral operators with a "diagonal" kernel. Let μ, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $K(z,u)$ be a $\mu \times \nu$-measurable function. For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ put

$$\Delta_z(p) = \{u \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : |u - z| < \|K(z,\cdot)\|^{-p}_{L^q(\nu)}\}$$

(we assume without loss of generality that $K(z,\cdot)$ is ν-measurable for any z, and we put $\|K(z,\cdot)\|^{-p}_{L^q(\nu)} = 0$ whenever $K(z,\cdot) \notin L^q(\nu)$). Consider the following "truncation" of the integral operator with the kernel K:

$$T_p f(z) = \int\limits_{\Delta_z(p)} K(z,u) f(u)\, d\nu(u).$$

Theorem 2.3. *If* $\|K(z,\cdot)\|^{-p}_{L^q(\nu)} \ge A(1-|z|)$*, then the operator* T_p *is of weak type* (p,p) *as an operator from* $L^p(\nu)$ *to* $L^p(\mu)$ *and is bounded as an operator from* $L^r(\nu)$ *to* $L^r(\mu)$ *for any* $r > p$*.*

Detailed proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 are given in [7] in the half-plane setting; the proofs for the disc follow by exactly the same arguments and we omit them.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is to split the integral, which represents the derivative, into "diagonal" and "off-diagonal" parts and to estimate them separately making use of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Put $h(z) = \big(w_{p,n}(z)\big)^{1/n}$. A trivial inequality $|k_z(w)| \le 2(1-|z|)^{-1}$, $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, implies $h(z) \ge A(1-|z|)$. Multiply the integral in (11) by $w_{p,n}(z)$ and split it into two parts:

$$\frac{1}{n!} w_{p,n}(z) f^{(n)}(z) = I_1 f(z) + I_2 f(z) \tag{14}$$

where

$$I_1 f(z) = w_{p,n}(z) \int\limits_{|\zeta - z| \ge h(z)} \overline{\zeta}^n f(\zeta) \overline{k_z^{n+1}(\zeta)}\, dm(\zeta),$$

$$I_2 f(z) = w_{p,n}(z) \int\limits_{|\zeta - z| < h(z)} \overline{\zeta}^n f(\zeta) \overline{k_z^{n+1}(\zeta)}\, dm(\zeta).$$

Since $|1 - \overline{\zeta} z| = |\zeta - z|$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$|I_1 f(z)| \le C h^n(z) \int\limits_{|\zeta - z| \ge h(z)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^{n+1}} \le C h(z) \int\limits_{|\zeta - z| \ge h(z)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2}\, dm(\zeta).$$

Now Theorem 2.2 implies that the operator I_1 is bounded as an operator from L^r to $L^r(\mu)$ for any Carleson measure μ and $r > 1$.

To estimate the integral $I_2 f$, put $K(z,\zeta) = (h(z))^n \overline{k_z^{n+1}(\zeta)}$. Then $\|K(z,\cdot)\|_q^{-p} = (h(z))^{-pn} \|k_z^{n+1}\|_q^{-p} = h(z)$. Thus,

$$I_2 f(z) = \int\limits_{|\zeta - z| < \|K(z,\cdot)\|_q^{-p}} \overline{\zeta}^n f(\zeta) K(z,\zeta)\, dm(\zeta),$$

and, applying Theorem 2.3, we conclude that the operator I_2 is of weak type (p,p) as an operator from L^p to $L^p(\mu)$ and is bounded as an operator from L^r to $L^r(\mu)$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ and $r > p$. ◯

Proof of Theorem 1.5. In view of the inequality (13), the statement follows from Theorem 2.1 with $\mu = m$. ◯

Proof of Theorem 1.6. It is shown by Alexandrov [4] that, for $\Theta \in CLS$, we have

$$\|k_z\|_s^s \asymp \|k_z\|_2^{2(s-1)}, \qquad z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \tag{15}$$

with the constants depending on Θ and $s \in (1, \infty)$, but not on z. It follows that

$$w_{p,n}(z) \asymp \big(\|k_z\|_2^{2(q(n+1)-1)}\big)^{-\frac{pn}{(pn+1)q}} = \|k_z\|_2^{-2n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and $w_{p,n}(\zeta) \asymp |\Theta'(\zeta)|^{-n}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. ○

Remarks. 1. One should compare inequality (9) in Theorem 1.6 with a Bernstein inequality for L^∞-norms: if Θ has a nontagential limit at the point $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, $\Theta(\zeta) \in \mathbb{T}$ and $|\Theta'(\zeta)| < \infty$, then for each $f \in K^\infty_\Theta$ the derivative $f'(\zeta)$ exists in the sense of non-tangential boundary values and

$$|f'(\zeta)/\Theta'(\zeta)| \le \|f\|_\infty. \tag{16}$$

Indeed, $f'(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{k^2_\zeta(\tau)} f(\tau)\, dm(\tau)$, and hence $|f'(\zeta)| \le \|f\|_\infty \|k_\zeta\|_2^2 = \|f\|_\infty |\Theta'(\zeta)|$. Note that (16) holds for arbitrary (not necessarily *CLS*) inner functions, and the constant 1 is sharp. This inequality is due to M.B. Levin [23]; for the case of a finite Blaschke product it was later rediscovered by a number of authors (see, e.g., [10]).

2. It is shown in [7, Example 5.2] that the exponent $\frac{p}{p+1}$ in the definition of the weight $w_{p,1}$ is, in a certain sense, best possible.

3. Bernstein inequalities for model subspaces $(K^p_\theta)_+$ in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}^+$ were previously studied by K.M. Dyakonov [17, 19] who showed that differentiation is bounded as an operator from $(K^p_\theta)_+$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with $1 < p \le \infty$, that is,

$$\|f'\|_p \le C(p,\theta)\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in (K^p_\theta)_+, \tag{17}$$

if and only if $\theta' \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^+)$. In this case θ is meromorphic in the whole complex plane and the subspace $(K^p_\theta)_+$ is closely related to a certain space of entire functions (in particular, for $p = 2$, to de Branges spaces; see [6, Proposition 1.1]). Weighted Bernstein inequalities of the form (5) obtained in [5, 7] essentially generalize inequality (17). An advantage of studying weighted estimates is that the weight may compensate possible growth of elements of $(K^p_\theta)_+$ and their derivatives near the boundary. Note that the Bernstein inequality for the usual L^p-norms, that is, $\|f'\|_p \le C\|f\|_p$, holds for a model subspace K^p_Θ in the disc if and only if it is finite-dimensional and, thus, Θ is a finite Blaschke product. Therefore the idea of weighted Bernstein inequalities (with an "improving" weight) is even more natural when one works with the spaces in the disc.

3. Embedding theorems

We show that Theorem 2.1 implies an embedding theorem which generalizes the Volberg–Treil theorem. This result also gives us a condition sufficient for the compactness of the embedding. In what follows we always assume that μ is a finite Borel measure in the closed disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

By a *square with the sidelength h* in the unit disc we mean a set of the form

$$S(h_0, \phi_0, h) = \{\rho e^{i\phi} : h_0 - \frac{h}{2\pi} \le \rho \le h_0,\ \phi_0 \le \phi \le \phi_0 + h\} \tag{18}$$

for some $h_0 \in (0,1]$, $\phi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < h < 2\pi h_0$. By $J(S)$ we denote the *lower side* of the square S, that is, $J(S) = \{h_0 e^{i\phi} : \phi_0 \le \phi \le \phi_0 + h\}$.

Note that this definition contains as particular cases Carleson squares (3) (they correspond to $h_0 = 1$) and dyadic squares (34) introduced in Section 5.

Let $\{S_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of squares in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let J_k denote the lower side of the square S_k, and let δ_{J_k} be the Lebesgue measure on the arc J_k. Assume that $1 < r < p$ and that the squares S_k satisfy the following two conditions:

$$\sum_k \delta_{J_k} \in \mathcal{C} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\sup_k |J_k| \cdot \|w_r^{-1}\|^p_{L^q(J_k)} < \infty \tag{20}$$

where $w_r(z) = w_{r,1}(z) = \|k_z^2\|_{r'}^{-\frac{r}{r+1}}$, $1/r + 1/r' = 1$, is the weight from the Bernstein inequality of Section 2. Condition (19) means that the sequence of squares $\{S_k\}$ is sufficiently sparse, whereas their size is controlled by inequality (20).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{S_k\}$ be a sequence of squares satisfying (19) and (20), and let μ be a Borel measure on $\bigcup\limits_k S_k$. Then*

(i) if $\mu(S_k) \le C|J_k|$, then $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$;

(ii) if, moreover, $\mu(S_k) = o(|J_k|)$, then the embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu)$ is compact.

Note that, as in the Volberg–Treil theorem, we consider the measures with Carleson-type estimate on a special class of sufficiently large squares. We will see that the squares in Theorem 3.1 may be essentially larger (see Proposition 3.4 below).

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we will need the following lemmas. The first of them shows that the norms of reproducing kernels have a certain monotonicity along the radii.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $q > 1$. Then there exists $C = C(q)$ such that for any $z = \rho e^{i\phi}$ and $\tilde z = \tilde\rho e^{i\phi}$ with $0 \le \tilde\rho \le \rho$ we have*

$$\|k_{\tilde z}\|_q \le C(q)\|k_z\|_q. \tag{21}$$

Proof. For the case of the upper half-plane the corresponding property is established in [7, Corollary 4.7]. The statement for the disc follows by the same arguments. ○

If the sequence $\{S_k\}$ satisfies (20), then it follows from (21) that

$$\sup_k |J_k| \Bigg(\int\limits_{S_k\cap\{|z|=\rho\}} w_r^{-q}(z)|dz| \Bigg)^{p/q} \le C \tag{22}$$

for any $\rho \in (0,1]$ (we denote by $|dz|$ the Lebesgue measure on the corresponding arc).

Lemma 3.3. *If $J_k \subset \mathbb{T}$, then (20) implies that $\int_{J_k} |\Theta'(\tau)|dm(\tau) < \infty$. In particular, $Int\, J_k \cap \sigma(\Theta) = \emptyset$ (we denote by $Int\, J_k$ the interior of J_k in $\mathbb{T}$) and Θ is continuous in each of the (closed) squares S_k.*

Proof. By (13), inequality (20) implies $\int_{J_k} |\Theta'(\tau)|^q dm(\tau) < \infty$. Hence,

$\int_{J_k} |\Theta'(\tau)|dm(\tau) < \infty$ and we conclude that Θ is continuous on J_k. It is easy to see that $|\Theta'(r\zeta)| \le |\Theta'(\zeta)|$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, $r \in (0,1)$, and therefore, Θ is continuous on S_k. $\bigcirc$

Proof of Theorem 3.1, (*i*). Clearly, the embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu|_{\{|z|<1/2\}})$ is compact. So we may assume without loss of generality that $supp\,\mu \subset \{1/2 \le |z| \le 1\}$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that the set of functions $f \in K^p_\Theta$ which are continuous on each of S_k is dense in K^p_Θ, $1 < p < \infty$ (take the reproducing kernels). Thus it is sufficient to prove the estimate

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mu)} \le C\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in K^p_\Theta,$$

only for f continuous in $\bigcup\limits_k S_k$.

Now let $f \in K^p_\Theta$ be continuous in each of S_k. Then there exist $w_k \in S_k$ such that

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p(\mu)} \le \sum_k |f(w_k)|^p \mu(S_k) \le \sup_k \frac{\mu(S_k)}{|J_k|} \cdot \sum_k |f(w_k)|^p |J_k|. \tag{23}$$

Statement (*i*) will be proved as soon as we show that

$$\sum_k |f(w_k)|^p |J_k| \le C\|f\|^p_p \tag{24}$$

where C does not depend on f and on the choice of $w_k \in S_k$.

Consider the arcs $\tilde{J}_k = S_k \cap \{|z| = |w_k|\}$. Since $\mu(\{|z| < 1/2\}) = 0$, it follows that $|\tilde{J}_k| \ge |J_k|/2$. Let $\nu = \sum_k \delta_{\tilde{J}_k}$. Then it follows from (19) that $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$ (and the Carleson constants M_ν of such measures ν are uniformly bounded). We have

$$\Big(\sum_k |f(w_k)|^p |\tilde{J}_k|\Big)^{1/p} \le \|f\|_{L^p(\nu)} + \Big(\sum_k \int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} |f(z) - f(w_k)|^p |dz|\Big)^{1/p}, \tag{25}$$

and $\|f\|_{L^p(\nu)} \le C_1 \|f\|_p$.

We estimate the last term in (25). For $z \in \tilde{J}_k$ denote by $\gamma(z, w_k)$ the subarc of $\tilde{J}_k$ with the endpoints z and w_k. Then $f(z) - f(w_k) = \int_{\gamma(z,w_k)} f'(u)du$ (in the case $J_k \subset \mathbb{T}$ note that, by Lemma 3.3, any $f \in K^p_\Theta$ is analytic on J_k except, may be, at the endpoints), and so

$$\sum_k \int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} |f(z) - f(w_k)|^p |dz| = \sum_k \int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} \Big| \int\limits_{\gamma(z,w_k)} f'(u)du \Big|^p |dz|$$

$$\le \sum_k \int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} \Big(\int\limits_{\gamma(z,w_k)} w_r^{-q}(u)|du| \Big)^{p/q} \Big(\int\limits_{\gamma(z,w_k)} |f'(u)|^p w_r^p(u)|du| \Big) |dz|$$

$$\le \sum_k |\tilde{J}_k| \Big(\int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} w_r^{-q}(u)|du| \Big)^{p/q} \Big(\int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} |f'(u)|^p w_r^p(u)|du| \Big).$$

By (22), we obtain

$$\sum_k \int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} |f(z) - f(w_k)|^p |dz| \le C_2 \sum_k \int\limits_{\tilde{J}_k} |f'(u)|^p w_r^p(u) |du|$$

$$= C_2 \|f' w_r\|^p_{L^p(\nu)} \le C_3 \|f\|_p^p$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Statement (ii)**.** For a Borel set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ define the operator $\mathcal{I}_E : K_\Theta^p \to L^p(\mu)$ by $\mathcal{I}f = \chi_E f$ where χ_E is the characteristic function of E. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ put $F_N = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{N} S_k$. As above we assume that $f \in K_\Theta^p$ is continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then it follows from (23) and (24) that

$$\int\limits_{\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus F_N} |f|^p d\mu \le C \sup_{k > N} \frac{\mu(S_k)}{|J_k|} \|f\|_p^p,$$

and so $\|\mathcal{I}_{\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus F_N}\| \to 0$, $N \to \infty$. Statement (ii) will be proved as soon as we show that $\mathcal{I}_{F_N}$ is a compact operator for any N (thus, our embedding operator $\mathcal{J}_\mu = \mathcal{I}_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} = \mathcal{I}_{F_N} + \mathcal{I}_{\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus F_N}$ may be approximated in the operator norm by compact operators $\mathcal{I}_{F_N}$). Clearly, it suffices to prove the compactness of $\mathcal{I}_{S_k}$ for each fixed k.

We approximate $\mathcal{I}_{S_k}$ by finite rank operators. Partition the square S_k into finite union of pairwise disjoint squares $\{\tilde{S}_l\}_{l=1}^L$ (here we do not require $\tilde{S}_l$ to be closed and assume that $Clos\, \tilde{S}_l$ is a closed square in the sense of definition (18)) and choose a point ζ_l in each of $\tilde{S}_l$. By Lemma 3.2, we can choose the squares $\tilde{S}_l$ to be so small that, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, we would have

$$\Bigg(\int\limits_{[\zeta_l, z_l]} w_r^{-q}(z) |dz| \Bigg)^{p/q} < \epsilon \tag{26}$$

for any l, $1 \le l \le L$, and any $z_l \in \tilde{S}_l$. Here we denote by $[z, w]$ the straight line interval with endpoints z and w.

Now we consider the finite rank operator $T : K_\Theta^p \to L^p(\mu)$, $(Tf)(z) = \sum_{l=1}^L f(\zeta_l) \chi_{\tilde{S}_l}(z)$. We show that $\|\mathcal{I}_{S_k} - T\|^p \le C\epsilon$. As in the proof of (i), we have

$$\|(\mathcal{I}_{S_K} - T) f\|^p_{L^p(\mu)} = \sum_{l=1}^L \int\limits_{\tilde{S}_l} |f(z) - f(\zeta_l)|^p d\mu(z)$$

$$\le \sum_{l=1}^L \int\limits_{\tilde{S}_l} \Bigg(\int\limits_{[\zeta_l, z]} |f'(u)|^p w_r^p(u) |du| \Bigg) \cdot \Bigg(\int\limits_{[\zeta_l, z]} w_r^{-q}(u) |du| \Bigg)^{p/q} d\mu(z).$$

By Theorem 2.1,

$$\int\limits_{[\zeta_l, z]} |f'(u)|^p w_r^p(u) |du| \le C_1 \|f\|_p^p$$

where C_1 does not depend on $f \in K^p_\Theta$, $1 \le l \le L$ and $z \in \tilde{S}_l$. Hence, by (26),

$$\|(\mathcal{I}_{S_K} - T)f\|^p_{L^p(\mu)} \le C_1 \epsilon \|f\|^p_p \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu(\tilde{S}_l) = C_1 \epsilon \mu(S_k) \|f\|^p_p.$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{I}_{S_K}$ may be approximated by finite rank operators and therefore is compact. ◯

Remark. In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we used the fact that, by Lemma 3.3, the functions continuous in each of S_k are dense in K^p_Θ. Actually, it follows from the results of [2] that the functions continuous in the closed disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ are dense in K^p_Θ, $p \ge 1$.

Theorem 3.1 describes a wider class of measures than the class $\mathcal{C}(\Theta)$ in the Volberg–Treil theorem. Namely, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.4. *If $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\Theta)$, then $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ where μ_1 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 (i) for any $p > 1$ and $r \in (1, p)$, whereas $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{C}$.*

In what follows we will use a special family of arcs on $\mathbb{T}$ (analogous to the Whitney decomposition for the set $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)$).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Assume that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta) \ne \emptyset$. Then there exists a sequence of arcs $I_k \subset \mathbb{T}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with pairwise disjoint interiors such that $\bigcup_k I_k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta)$ and*

$$|I_k| \le dist(I_k, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \le 2|I_k|. \tag{27}$$

Moreover, if we put $F = \bigcup_k S(I_k)$ and $G = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus F$, then for any $z \in G$, $z \ne 0$, we have

$$dist(z/|z|, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \le 6\pi(1 - |z|). \tag{28}$$

Proof. Note that $\int_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\sigma(\Theta)} d_\varepsilon^{-1}(\zeta)\, dm(\zeta) = \infty$. Therefore we can choose the sequence of arcs I_k with pairwise disjoint interiors such that $\bigcup_k I_k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta)$ and

$$\int_{I_k} d_\varepsilon^{-1}(\zeta)\, dm(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that there exists $\zeta_k \in I_k$ such that $d_\varepsilon(\zeta_k) = 2|I_k|$. Hence, for any $\zeta \in I_k$, $d_\varepsilon(\zeta) \ge d_\varepsilon(\zeta_k) - |I_k| \ge |I_k|$, and we get (27).

Now let $z = re^{i\phi} \in G$. Then, either $e^{i\phi} \in \sigma(\Theta)$ (and so $dist(e^{i\phi}, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \le 1 - |z|$), or $e^{i\phi} \in I_k$ for some k. Since $z \notin S(I_k)$, we have $1 - r \ge |I_k|/(2\pi)$. Hence, by (27), $dist(e^{i\phi}, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \le 3|I_k| \le 6\pi(1 - r)$. ◯

Proof of Proposition 3.4. As usual, for an arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ and $a > 0$ we denote by aI the arc with the same center of the length $a|I|$. Put $\mu_1 = \mu|_F$ and $\mu_2 = \mu|_G$ where the sets F, G are defined in Lemma 3.5. It follows from (27) that

$$|I_k| \Big(\int_{I_k} d_\varepsilon^{-q}(\zeta)\, dm(\zeta) \Big)^{p/q} \le C.$$

Let $r \in (1,p)$. By (13), $d_\varepsilon \lesssim w_r$, and therefore we have

$$|I_k|\biggl(\int\limits_{I_k} w_r^{-q}(\zeta)\,dm(\zeta)\biggr)^{p/q} \le C_1. \tag{29}$$

Thus, the family of the squares $S(I_k)$ satisfies (19) and (20). Let us show that $\mu_1(S(I_k)) \le C_2|I_k|$. Indeed, it follows from (27) that $S(AI_k)\cap\Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$ for some sufficiently large absolute constant $A > 1$. Since $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\Theta)$, it follows that $\mu_1(S(I_k)) \le \mu(S(AI_k)) \le C_2|I_k|$.

Now we show that μ_2 is a usual Carleson measure. If $S(I)\cap G \ne \emptyset$ for some arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$, then, by (28), there is an absolute constant $A_1 > 1$ such that

$$S(A_1 I)\cap\Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \ne \emptyset, \tag{30}$$

and so $\mu(S(I)) \le C_3|I|$ for a positive constant C_3. ◯

The following example shows that Theorem 3.1 describes an essentially wider class of embeddings than the Volberg–Treil theorem.

Example 3.6. By Proposition 3.4, each measure $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\Theta)$ is of the form $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ where μ_1 satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 3.1 and μ_2 is a usual Carleson measure. Thus, the Volberg–Treil theorem follows from Theorem 3.1. On the other hand, it is easy to construct a measure μ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.1 (i), which is not in $\mathcal{C}(\Theta)$.

Clearly, if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\Theta)$, then μ has no point masses at the points of the boundary spectrum, that is, $\mu(\{\zeta\}) = 0$ for any $\zeta \in \sigma(\Theta)\cap\mathbb{T}$ (note that in this case $S(I)\cap\Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$ for any arc I such that ζ is an interior point of I and for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$). However, measures in Theorem 3.1 can have nontrivial point masses on $\sigma(\Theta)\cap\mathbb{T}$. Let B be the Blaschke product with the zeros $z_n = (1-2^{-n})e^{i/n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $p \in (1,\infty)$ we have

$$\|k_\zeta^2\|_q \le C_1, \qquad -\pi < \arg\zeta \le 0,$$

and, consequently, $w_p^{-1}(\zeta) = \|k_\zeta^2\|_q^{p/(p+1)} \le C_2$, $-\pi < \arg\zeta \le 0$. Hence, $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{C}_p(\Theta)$. Analogously, it is easy to construct an infinite sum of point masses, that is $\mu = \sum_n a_n\delta_{\zeta_n}$ with $a_n > 0$, $\zeta_n \in \sigma(\Theta)\cap\mathbb{T}$, such that the embedding $K_\Theta^p \subset L^p(\mu)$ is bounded or even compact (see [7, Example 6.3] for details).

4. Compact embeddings. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and discuss the relation between the two "vanishing conditions" introduced in [11].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As we mentioned in Introduction, implication $(ii)\Longrightarrow(i)$ for CLS inner functions was proved in [11]. We show that $(i)\Longrightarrow(ii)$; the proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.4. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and let I_k, F, G, μ_1 and μ_2 have the same meaning as in Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. We show that μ_1

satisfies condition (*ii*) of Theorem 3.1 and μ_2 is a vanishing Carleson measure (see Section 2 for the definition), and thus the embedding $H^p \subset L^p(\mu_2)$ is compact.

For any $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $r \in (1,p)$, the inequality (29) is satisfied. Since $|I_k| \to 0$, $k \to \infty$, and $S(AI_k) \cap \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for a sufficiently large absolute constant $A > 1$, it follows from the hypothesis that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu(S(I_k))}{|I_k|} = 0.$$

Hence the embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu_1)$ is compact by Theorem 3.1, (*ii*). As we have shown in the proof of Proposition 3.4, for any arc I such that $\mu_2(S(I)) \neq 0$ (that is, $S(I) \cap G \neq \emptyset$), we have (30) for a sufficiently large absolute constant $A_1 > 1$. By condition (*i*) of Theorem 1.1, $\mu(S(I))/|I| \to 0$ when $|I| \to 0$ and $S(A_1 I) \cap \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Hence μ_2 is a vanishing Carleson measure. ○

In [11] another sufficient condition for the compactness of the embedding was introduced. Following [11], we put, for $\delta > 0$,

$$H_\delta = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : dist(z, \sigma(\Theta) \cap \mathbb{T}) < \delta\}$$

and we say that a measure μ satisfies the *first vanishing condition* (V1, for short) if

$$M_{\mu_\delta} \to 0, \qquad \delta \to 0, \tag{31}$$

where $\mu_\delta = \mu|_{H_\delta}$. Recall that M_ν denotes the Carleson constant of a Carleson measure ν. If a measure μ satisfies condition (*i*) of Theorem 1.1, we say that μ satisfies the *second vanishing condition* (V2).

It is shown in [11] that if μ satisfies V1, then the embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu)$ is compact for $0 < p < \infty$. The authors ask what the relation between the two vanishing conditions is. Here we answer this question by showing that V1 always implies V2, but not vice versa (thus, for $p > 1$, the sufficient condition of compactness given in [11] follows from our Theorem 1.1).

Proposition 4.1. *V1 implies V2.*

Proof. Assume that μ satisfies V1, but not V2. Then there exists a sequence of arcs $\{J_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for some fixed $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $S(J_n) \cap \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, $|J_n| \to 0$, $n \to \infty$, but

$$\mu(S(J_n)) \geq C|J_n| \tag{32}$$

for a constant $C > 0$.

Fix $\delta > 0$ and put $G_\delta = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus H_\delta$. It follows from the definition of $\sigma(\Theta)$ that Θ is continuous on G_δ and $|\Theta(z)| \to 1$ uniformly when $|z| \to 1$, $z \in G_\delta$. Hence there exists a constant $\delta_1 \in (0,\delta)$ such that

$$|\Theta(z)| > \varepsilon, \qquad z \in G_\delta, \quad 1 - \delta_1 \leq |z| \leq 1. \tag{33}$$

Choose N such that $|J_n| < \delta_1$, $n \geq N$. Clearly, $S(J_n) \subset \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : 1-\delta_1 \leq |z| \leq 1\}$. Since $S(J_n) \cap \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, it follows from (33) that

$$S(J_n) \not\subseteq G_\delta \cap \{1 - \delta_1 \leq |z| \leq 1\}, \qquad n \geq N.$$

We conclude that $S(J_n) \cap H_\delta \neq \emptyset$, $n \geq N$. Hence $S(J_n) \subset H_{2\delta}$, and, consequently, $\mu(S(J_n)) \leq M_{\mu_{2\delta}} |J_n|$, $n \geq N$. This contradicts (32), since, by (31), $M_{\mu_{2\delta}} \to 0$ when $\delta \to 0$. ◯

Example 4.2. Now we show that V1 is not necessary for the compactness of the embedding even for CLS inner functions (and, thus, V2 does not imply V1). Let I_n be the sequence of arcs from Lemma 3.5, and let ζ_n be the middle point of I_n. Put $\mu = \sum_n a_n |I_n| \delta_{\zeta_n}$ where $a_n \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. By Theorem 3.1, (*ii*), the embedding $K^p_\Theta \subset L^p(\mu)$ is compact for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that $\Theta \in CLS$. Now, by Theorem 1.1 (*ii*), μ satisfies V2 (this can be also shown directly by the arguments analogous to those in the proof of Proposition 3.4). However, the measure μ_δ has nonzero point masses on $\mathbb{T}$ for any $\delta > 0$, and hence is not a Carleson measure. Thus, μ does not satisfy V1.

5. Classes $\mathcal{S}_r$. Sufficient conditions

The definition and basic properties of Schatten–von Neumann operator ideals $\mathcal{S}_r$ may be found in [21].

By $R_{n,m}$ we denote the elements of the standard dyadic partition of $\mathbb{D}$; namely,

$$R_{n,m} = \left\{ z = \rho e^{i\phi} : 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \leq \rho < 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}, \ \frac{\pi m}{2^{n-1}} \leq \phi < \frac{\pi(m+1)}{2^{n-1}} \right\} \tag{34}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots 2^n - 1$.

We recall a theorem due to Luecking [24] concerning Schatten–von Neumann properties of the embeddings of the whole Hardy space H^2. For a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ the embedding operator of H^2 into $L^2(\mu)$ is in $\mathcal{S}_r$, $r > 0$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n,m} (2^n \mu(R_{n,m}))^{r/2} < \infty \tag{35}$$

where we sum over all dyadic squares $R_{n,m}$. An interesting general approach to embeddings of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces was suggested by Parfenov [28]. We will essentially use the ideas from [28], especially in the proof of necessary conditions.

A criterion for the inclusion of the embedding operator $\mathcal{J}_\mu : K^2_\Theta \to L^2(\mu)$, $\mathcal{J}_\mu f = f$, into $\mathcal{S}_2$ is obvious.

Proposition 5.1. *$\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_2$ if and only if $\|k_z\|_2 \in L^2(\mu)$; moreover, $\|\mathcal{J}_\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{S}_2} = \int \|k_z\|^2_2 \, d\mu(z)$.*

Proof. We have

$$(\mathcal{J}_\mu f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(w) \overline{k_z(w)} \, dm(w), \qquad f \in K^2_\Theta. \tag{36}$$

Note that the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\mu$ defined by (36) on the whole $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto K^2_Θ. Then $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_2$ if and only if $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_2$ which is equivalent to

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{T}} |k_z(w)|^2 \, dm(w) \, d\mu(z) = \int \|k_z\|_2^2 \, d\mu(z) < \infty. \qquad \bigcirc$$

Take the point $z_{n,m} = (1 - 2^{-n}) \exp(i\pi 2^{-n} m)$ in $R_{n,m}$. It is easy to see that $\|k_z\|_2^2 \asymp 2^n(1 - |\Theta(z_{n,m})|)$, $z \in R_{n,m}$, with the constants independent of n, m. Then the condition $\|k_z\|_2 \in L^2(\mu)$ may be rewriten as

$$\sum_{n,m} 2^n \mu(R_{n,m})(1 - |\Theta(z_{n,m})|) < \infty.$$

Our next result in this section is a sufficient condition for the inclusion $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_r$, $r > 0$, which involves the arcs I_k from Lemma 3.5 and some special families of dyadic squares; it contains Theorem 1.2. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $A > 0$ put

$$\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, A) = \{R_{n,m} : dist(R_{n,m}, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \le A 2^{-n}\}.$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $r > 0$, let μ be a Borel measure in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. There exists an absolute constant $A > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_r$ whenever*

$$\sum_k \left(\frac{\mu(S(I_k))}{|I_k|} \right)^{r/2} < \infty \tag{37}$$

and

$$\sum_{R_{n,m} \in \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, A)} (2^n \mu(R_{n,m}))^{r/2} < \infty. \tag{38}$$

We introduce the following operator $T_\mu : K^2_\Theta \to K^2_\Theta$,

$$(T_\mu f)(w) = \int f(z) \overline{k_w(z)} \, d\mu(z). \tag{39}$$

The following lemma follows from (36) by a simple calculation:

Lemma 5.3. *We have $\mathcal{J}_\mu^* \mathcal{J}_\mu = T_\mu$ and for any $f, g \in K^2_\Theta$,*

$$\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle = \int f(z) \overline{g(z)} \, d\mu(z)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the standard inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

In the proof of Theorem 5.2 we will use the following property of the arcs I_n constructed in Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and let $\{I_n\}$ be the system of arcs of Lemma 3.5. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$|k_z(w)| = \left| \frac{1 - \overline{\Theta(z)}\Theta(w)}{1 - \overline{z} w} \right| \le C |I_n|^{-1}$$

for any n *and* $z,\, w \in S(I_n)$. *In particular,* $|\Theta'(\zeta)| \le C|I_n|^{-1}$, $\zeta \in I_n$.

Proof. By construction of I_n, $d_\varepsilon(\zeta) = dist\,(\zeta, \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon)) \ge |I_n|$, $\zeta \in I_n$. Let $w = r\zeta$, $r \in (0,1)$, $\zeta \in I_n$. Clearly, $|k_z(w)| \le \|k_z\|_2\|k_w\|_2$, and, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\|k_w\|_2^2 \le C\|k_\zeta\|_2^2 = C|\Theta'(\zeta)|.$$

It follows from (13) (see also [7, Theorem 4.9]) that $|\Theta'(\zeta)| \le C_1(d_\varepsilon(\zeta))^{-1} \le C_1|I_n|^{-1}$. Hence, $\|k_w\|_2^2 \le C_2|I_n|^{-1}$, $w \in S(I_n)$. ◯

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 5.2. We start with the proof for the case $r \ge 2$; here we follow the argument from [24] based on the method of complex interpolation between the different Schatten–von Neumann classes. Then we use an idea from Parfenov's paper [28] to give the proof for $0 < r \le 1$. Finally, the case $1 < p < 2$ follows by interpolation.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Given $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, consider the system of arcs $\{I_n\}$ constructed in Lemma 3.5. As in the proof of Proposition 3.4, we put $\mu_1 = \mu|_F$ and $\mu_2 = \mu|_G$ where $F = \bigcup\limits_n S(I_n)$ and $G = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus F$.

First we show that, for any $r > 0$, condition (38) with appropriate A implies that the embedding operator $\mathcal{J}_2 : H^2 \to L^2(\mu_2)$ is in $\mathcal{S}_r$. Let $R_{n,m}$ be a dyadic square such that $R_{n,m} \cap G \ne \emptyset$. We show that $R_{n,m} \in \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, A)$ for some $A > 0$. Indeed, let $z \in R_{n,m} \setminus F$, $z = (1-\rho)\zeta$, $\rho \in (0,1)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. If $\zeta \in \sigma(\Theta)$, then $dist(z, \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon)) \le \rho \le 2^{-(n-1)}$. Otherwise, $\zeta \in I_k$ and, by (28), $dist(\zeta, \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon)) \le 6\pi\rho$. Hence, $dist(z, \Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon)) \le (6\pi+1)\rho \le (6\pi+1)2^{-(n-1)}$. We conclude that $R_{n,m} \in \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, A)$ with $A = 12\pi + 2$. Hence

$$\sum_{R_{n,m}\cap G \ne \emptyset} (2^n \mu(R_{n,m}))^{r/2} < \infty,$$

and $\mathcal{J}_2 \in \mathcal{S}_r$ by the Luecking theorem.

Now we consider the embedding operator $\mathcal{J}_1 : K^2_\Theta \to L^2(\mu_1)$.

Proof for the case $r \ge 2$. By Lemma 5.3, $\mathcal{J}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_r$ if and only if the operator

$$(Tf)(w) = (\mathcal{J}_1^*\mathcal{J}_1 f)(w) = \int\limits_F f(z)\overline{k_w(z)}\, d\mu(z)$$

is in $\mathcal{S}_{r/2}$. Let $p = r/2$. Since $r \ge 2$ we have $p \ge 1$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \le \operatorname{Re}\zeta \le 1$, we put

$$(T(\zeta)f)(w) = \sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^{\zeta p - 1} \int\limits_{S_n} f(z)\overline{k_w(z)} d\mu(z)$$

where $S_n = S(I_n)$. Then $T(\zeta)$ is an analytic family of operators in K^2_Θ. Clearly, $T(1/p) = T$. We will show that $T(\zeta)$ is bounded in K^2_Θ with $\|T(\zeta)\| \le A_0$, $\operatorname{Re}\zeta \in [0,1]$. Also we will show that

$$\|T(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}_1} \le A_1, \qquad \operatorname{Re}\zeta = 1, \tag{40}$$

where $\|T(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}_1}$ is the trace norm of the operator $T(\zeta)$. Then, by [21, Theorem 13.1], $T = T(1/p) \in \mathcal{S}_p$ and $\|T\|_{\mathcal{S}_p} \le A_0^{1-1/p} A_1^{1/p}$.

Note that for any $f, g \in K^2_\Theta$ we have, as in Lemma 5.3,

$$\langle T(\zeta) f, g\rangle = \sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^{\zeta p-1} \int\limits_{S_n} f(z)\overline{g(z)}\, d\mu(z). \tag{41}$$

Note also that, by (37), $\mu(S_n) \le C|I_n|$, and so $\left|(\mu(S_n)/|I_n|)^{\zeta p-1}\right| \le C_1 (\mu(S_n)/|I_n|)^{-1}$ for any ζ with $\operatorname{Re}\zeta \in [0,1]$. Hence,

$$|\langle T(\zeta) f, g\rangle| \le C_1 \sum_n (\mu(S_n))^{-1} |I_n| \int\limits_{S_n} |f(z) g(z)| d\mu(z)$$

$$\le \sum_n |I_n| \cdot |f(z_n) g(z_n)| \le \left(\sum_n |I_n|\cdot |f(z_n)|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_n |I_n|\cdot|g(z_n)|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

for some points $z_n \in S_n$ (recall that, by Lemma 3.3, the functions continuous in S_n are dense in K^2_Θ). By Theorem 3.1, the measure $\nu = \sum\limits_n |I_n|\,\delta_{z_n}$ is in the class $\mathcal{C}_2(\Theta)$ (indeed, $\nu(S_n) = |I_n|$), and, moreover, Carleson constants of such measures are uniformly bounded by a constant C_2 which does not depend on the choice of $z_n \in S_n$. Thus,

$$\sum_n |I_n|\cdot|f(z_n)|^2 \le C_2^2 \|f\|_2^2, \qquad f \in K^2_\Theta.$$

We conclude that

$$|\langle T(\zeta) f, g\rangle| \le C_3 \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2, \qquad f, g \in K^2_\Theta,$$

which implies $\|T(\zeta)\| \le A_0$, $\operatorname{Re}\zeta \in [0,1]$.

It remains to verify (40). Note that condition (37) implies $\mu(S_n) = o(|I_n|)$, $n \to \infty$, and therefore $T(\zeta)$ is compact for $\operatorname{Re}\zeta = 1$. Hence, for a fixed ζ, we can write $T(\zeta)$ in the canonical form $T(\zeta)h = \sum_m \beta_m \langle h, e_m\rangle f_m$ where $\{e_m\}$ and $\{f_m\}$ are orthonormal systems in K^2_Θ, $\{\beta_m\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers tending to zero, and $\|T(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}_1} = \sum_m \langle T(\zeta) e_m, f_m\rangle$. By (41),

$$\sum_m \langle T(\zeta) e_m, f_m\rangle = \sum_m \left(\sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^{\zeta p-1} \int\limits_{S_n} e_m(z)\overline{f_m(z)}\, d\mu(z)\right)$$

$$\le \sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^{p-1} \left(\int\limits_{S_n} \sum_m |e_m(z)|^2 d\mu(z)\right)^{1/2} \left(\int\limits_{S_n} \sum_m |f_m(z)|^2 d\mu(z)\right)^{1/2}.$$

By Parseval's identity, $\sum_m |g_m(z)|^2 \le k_z(z) = \|k_z\|_2^2$ for any orthonormal system $\{g_m\}$ in K^2_Θ. Thus, for $\operatorname{Re}\zeta = 1$,

$$\|T(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}_1} \le \sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^{p-1} \int\limits_{S_n} \|k_z\|_2^2\, d\mu(z).$$

By Lemma 5.4, $\|k_z\|_2^2 = k_z(z) \le C|I_n|^{-1}$, $z \in S_n$. Hence

$$\|T(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}_1} \le C \sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|} = C \sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^p.$$

We have proved estimate (40). We conclude that, by [21, Theorem 13.1],

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{S}_p}^p \le C_1 \sum_n \left(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\right)^p.$$

Now recall that $T = \mathcal{J}_1^* \mathcal{J}_1$ and $p = r/2$. Hence $\|\mathcal{J}_1\|_{\mathcal{S}_r}^r = \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}_p}^p < \infty$.

Proof for the case $0 < r \le 1$**.** Now we prove the statement for $0 < r \le 1$ using an idea of [28]. Let D_n be the smallest disc containing the Carleson square S_n. By Lemma 3.5, $\mathit{dist}\,(S_n, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \ge |I_n|$, whence the radius d_n of D_n does not exceed $2|I_n|/3$. Let $\tilde{D}_n$ be a disc with the same center of the radius $\tilde{d}_n = 3|I_n|/4$. It follows that $\mathit{dist}\,(\tilde{D}_n, \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)) \asymp |I_n|$. In this case Θ is analytic in $\tilde{D}_n$ and $|\Theta(z)| \le C = C(\varepsilon)$, $z \in \tilde{D}_n$. We have $\Theta(z) = 1/\overline{\Theta(1/\overline{z})}$, $z \in \tilde{D}_n \setminus \mathbb{D}$, and we conclude, analogously to Lemma 5.4, that

$$\|k_z\|_2^2 \lesssim |I_n|^{-1}, \qquad z \in \tilde{D}_n. \tag{42}$$

By a well-known Rotfeld's inequality for classes $\mathcal{S}_r$ with $r \le 1$, $\|A + B\|_{\mathcal{S}_r}^r \le \|A\|_{\mathcal{S}_r}^r + \|B\|_{\mathcal{S}_r}^r$. Therefore we may represent the embedding operator $\mathcal{J}_1 : K_\Theta^2 \to L^2(\mu_1)$ as the sum of embedding operators $J_n : K_\Theta^2 \to L^2(\mu_1|_{S_n})$ and estimate their $\mathcal{S}_r$-norms separately. Now we factorize the operator J_n as $J_n = J_n^{(2)} J_n^{(1)}$ where $J_n^{(1)}$ is the embedding operator from K_Θ^2 to $H^2(\tilde{D}_n)$ and $J_n^{(2)}$ is the embedding operator from $H^2(\tilde{D}_n)$ to $L^2(\mu_1|_{S_n})$. Here $H^2(\tilde{D}_n)$ denotes the Hardy space in the disc $\tilde{D}_n$.

By the standard properties of the classes $\mathcal{S}_r$, we have

$$\|J_n\|_{\mathcal{S}_r} \le \|J_n^{(2)}\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \|J_n^{(1)}\|_{\mathcal{S}_r}. \tag{43}$$

It follows from (42) that

$$\|J_n^{(2)}\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi \tilde{d}_n} \int_{\partial \tilde{D}_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |k_z(\zeta)|^2 dm(\zeta) |dz| = \frac{1}{2\pi \tilde{d}_n} \int_{\partial \tilde{D}_n} \|k_z\|_2^2 \, |dz| \le C|I_n|^{-1}.$$

Note that $d_n \le \delta \tilde{d}_n$ where $\delta < 1$ is an absolute constant. Let s_l be the lth singular number of the operator $J_n^{(1)}$. Then we have the estimate

$$s_l \le C(\delta)\, \delta^l \big(\mu(D_n)\big)^{1/2}. \tag{44}$$

Indeed, by a translation and linear change of variables we may assume that $\tilde{D}_n = \mathbb{D}$, $D_n = \delta\mathbb{D}$, and ν is a measure in $\delta\mathbb{D}$. Then s_l does not exceed the norm of the restriction of the embedding operator into $L^2(\nu)$ on the subspace $z^l H^2(\mathbb{D})$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Note that $|f(z)| \le C(\delta)\|f\|_2$, $z \in \delta\mathbb{D}$. Then

$$\|z^l f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 = \int_{\delta\mathbb{D}} |z^l f(z)|^2 d\nu(z) \le C^2(\delta)\, \delta^{2l} \nu(\delta\mathbb{D}) \|f\|_2^2, \quad f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}),$$

which implies (44). Now, summing s_l^r, we obtain $\|J_n^{(1)}\|_r^r \le C\big(\mu(S_n)\big)^{r/2}$ whence, by (43),

$$\|J_n\|_r^r \le C\biggl(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\biggr)^{r/2}.$$

We conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{J}_1\|_r^r \le C\sum_n\biggl(\frac{\mu(S_n)}{|I_n|}\biggr)^{r/2}.$$

Finally, the case $1<r<2$ follows by interpolation between $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ (see [28, Section 2]). The proof of Theorem 5.2 is completed. ○

6. Necessary conditions for $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_r$. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we consider conditions which are necessary for the inclusion $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_r$, $r\ge 1$. We will use a general approach from Parfenov's paper [28]. Let X be a Hilbert space of analytic functions in a domain D with the reproducing kernel K. Let $\{D_n\}$ be a partition of D and assume that for any n there exists $w_n\in D_n$ such that, for $z\in D_n$,

$$|K(z,w_n)|^2 \ge cK(z,z)K(w_n,w_n) \tag{45}$$

where c is a positive constant. Consider the discrete measure $\nu = \sum_n (K(w_n,w_n))^{-1}\delta_{w_n}$. Put $j_n = \Big(\int_{D_n} K(z,z)d\mu(z)\Big)^{1/2}$. If the embedding operator of X into $L^2(\nu)$ is bounded and the embedding operator of X into $L^2(\mu)$ is in $\mathcal{S}_r$, $r\ge1$, then $\{j_n\}\in\ell^r$ [28, Theorem 3].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We fix a numeration R_n, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, of the set of the squares $R_{l,m}$ such that $R_{l,m}\cap\Omega(\Theta,\varepsilon)\ne\emptyset$. In each of the squares R_n we choose a point w_n with $|\Theta(w_n)|<\varepsilon$. If $R_n=R_{l,m}$, put $d_n=2^{-l}$. It is easy to show that there exists a $\delta<1$ which depends only on ε such that $|\Theta(z)|<\delta$, $z\in R_n$. In other words, $R_n\subset\Omega(\Theta,\delta)$.

We have $\|k_z\|_2^2 \asymp d_n^{-1}$, $z\in R_n$, with the constants depending only on δ. Therefore, the sets $D_n=R_n$ and the points w_n satisfy (45). Also, if we put $\nu=\sum_n d_n^{-1}\delta_{w_n}$, then it follows from the construction of Carleson curves [20, Chapter VIII, §5] that ν is a Carleson measure. Now, let μ be a measure on $\bigcup\limits_n R_n$, let $\mathcal{J}_\mu : K^2_\Theta \to L^2(\mu)$ be the embedding operator. Since $\mathcal{J}_\mu\in\mathcal{S}_r$, $r\ge1$, we have $\{j_n\}\in\ell^r$, by [28, Theorem 3], where $j_n=\Big(\int_{R_n}\|k_z\|_2^2 d\mu(z)\Big)^{1/2} \asymp \big(\mu(R_n)/d_n\big)^{1/2}$. ○

We conclude this section with the proof of Theorem 1.4. We start with an elementary estimate for inner functions.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\zeta\in\mathbb{T}\setminus\sigma(\Theta)$, $z\in\mathbb{D}$, and let $|z-\zeta|<A\,dist\,(\zeta,\sigma(\Theta))$ for some constant $A\in(0,1)$. Then there is a constant $C=C(A)>0$ such that*

$$\log|\Theta(z)| \le -C(1-|z|)|\Theta'(\zeta)|.$$

Proof. By the Frostman theorem, $\Theta_\alpha = \frac{\Theta-\alpha}{1-\overline{\alpha}\Theta}$ is a Blaschke product for almost all α with $|\alpha| < 1$, and $\|\Theta_\alpha - \Theta\|_\infty \to 0$ when $\alpha \to 0$. We have also $|\Theta'_\alpha(\zeta)| \to |\Theta'(\zeta)|$, $\alpha \to 0$, whenever $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(\Theta)$. Thus, it suffices to prove the estimate for the case when Θ is a Blaschke product.

Let B be a Blaschke product with zeros z_n and let $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$\log |B(z)|^2 = \sum_n \log \left(1 - \frac{(1-|z_n|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\overline{z}_n z|^2} \right).$$

Also, recall that $|B'(\zeta)| = \sum_n \frac{1-|z_n|^2}{|\zeta - z_n|^2}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Since $|z-\zeta| < A\, dist\,(\zeta, \sigma(\Theta))$, we have $|z-\zeta| < A|z_n - \zeta|$ for any n. Therefore

$$(1-A)|\zeta - z_n| < |1-\overline{z}_n z| < (1+A)|\zeta - z_n|.$$

Since $\log(1-t) < -t$, $t \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\log |B(z)|^2 < -\sum_n \frac{(1-|z_n|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\overline{z}_n z|^2}$$

$$< -C(A)(1-|z|) \sum_n \frac{1-|z_n|^2}{|\zeta - z_n|^2} = -C(A)(1-|z|)|B'(\zeta)|. \qquad \bigcirc$$

In the next lemma $\{I_n\}$ denotes the system of arcs from Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $\Theta \in CLS$. *There exists a* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *such that* $|\Theta(z)| \le \delta$ *for* $z = (1-|I_n|/(2\pi))\zeta$, $\zeta \in I_n$ *(that is, for* z *on the interior side of the square* $S(I_n)$*). Also we have* $k_z(z) = \|k_z\|_2^2 \asymp |I_n|^{-1}$, $z \in S(I_n)$.

Proof. First we show that there exist constants $C_j = C_j(\Theta, \varepsilon) > 0$, $j = 1, 2$, such that

$$C_1 |I_n|^{-1} \le |\Theta'(\zeta)| \le C_2 |I_n|^{-1}, \qquad \zeta \in I_n. \tag{46}$$

We need to prove only the first inequality; the second follows from Lemma 5.4.

By Lemma 3.5, there exists $w \in \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)$ such that $|\zeta - w| \le C_3 |I_n|$, $\zeta \in I_n$, for an absolute constant $C_3 > 0$. Hence,

$$|k_w(\zeta)| \ge \frac{1-|\Theta(w)|}{|\zeta - w|} \ge C_3^{-1}(1-\varepsilon)|I_n|^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, by an inequality due to Aleksandrov [4], for a function $\Theta \in CLS$ we have

$$|k_w(\zeta)| \le C_4 |\Theta'(\zeta)|, \qquad w \in \mathbb{D},\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{47}$$

which implies (46).

Now fix $\zeta \in I_n$ and put $z = (1-|I_n|/(2\pi))\zeta$. Since $dist(I_n, \sigma(\Theta)) \ge |I_n|$, we have $|\zeta - z| < A\, dist(\zeta, \sigma(\Theta))$ for some $A < 1$. It follows from (46) and Lemma 6.1 that $|\Theta(z)| \le \delta = \exp(-C(A)C_1/(2\pi))$. We conclude that $k_z(z) \asymp |I_n|^{-1}$, $z = (1-|I_n|/(2\pi))\zeta$, $\zeta \in I_n$. The estimate $k_z(z) = \|k_z\|_2^2 \asymp |I_n|^{-1}$, $z \in S(I_n)$ follows from

Lemma 3.2. $\bigcirc$

Corollary 6.3. *Let* $\Theta \in CLS$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. *Then there exists* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *such that* $|\Theta(z)| \le \delta$, $z \in G$.

Proof. Recall that $G = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup\limits_n S(I_n)$. We show that there exists $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that $|\Theta(z)| \le \delta$, $z \in \partial G \cap \mathbb{D}$. Since ∂G is a rectifiable Jordan curve, $|\Theta(z)| \le 1$ in G and $\partial G \cap \mathbb{T}$ is of zero Lebesgue measure, it follows that $|\Theta(z)| \le \delta$, $z \in G$.

Now let $z \in \partial G \cap \mathbb{D}$. Then there are two possibilities: either $z = (1 - |I_n|/(2\pi))\zeta$, $\zeta \in I_n$ for some n (z is on the interior side of some square) or there exist two adjacent squares $S(I_n)$ and $S(I_m)$ with $|I_n| \le |I_m|$ such that $z = r\zeta$, $1 - |I_m|/(2\pi) \le r \le 1 - |I_n|/(2\pi)$. Here ζ is the common endpoint of the arcs I_n and I_m. In the first case $|\Theta(z)| \le \delta_1 < 1$ by Lemma 6.2. Note that, by (46), $|I_n| \asymp |I_m| \asymp |\Theta'(\zeta)|^{-1}$. Hence in the second case $|\Theta(z)| \le \delta_2 < 1$ by Lemma 6.1. $\bigcirc$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We start with the sufficiency of (6) and (7). As before, put $F = \bigcup\limits_n S(I_n)$, $G = \mathbb{D} \setminus F$. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that the embedding operator of K^2_Θ into $L^2(\mu|_F)$ is in $\mathcal{S}_r$. Now let $R_{n,m}$ be a dyadic square such that $R_{n,m} \cap G \ne \emptyset$. Then, by Corollary 6.3, there is a constant $\delta < 1$ such that $R_{n,m} \cap \Omega(\Theta, \delta) \ne \emptyset$. By (7),

$$\sum_{R_{n,m} \cap G \ne \emptyset} (2^n \mu(R_{n,m}))^{r/2} < \infty,$$

and the inclusion $\mathcal{J}_{\mu|_G} \in \mathcal{S}_r$ follows from the Luecking theorem.

By Theorem 1.3, condition (7) is necessary for the inclusion $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_r$ even for general inner functions. To prove the necessity of (6), we verify the conditions of Parfenov's theorem for $D_n = S(I_n)$. By Lemma 6.2, there exist $w_n \in S(I_n)$ such that $\|k_{w_n}\|_2^2 \asymp |I_n|^{-1}$ and

$$|k_{w_n}(z)|^2 = \left| \frac{1 - \Theta(z)\overline{\Theta(w_n)}}{1 - z\overline{w}_n} \right|^2 \ge C_1 |I_n|^{-2} \ge C_2 k_z(z) k_{w_n}(w_n).$$

We used the estimates $|I_n| \lesssim |1 - z\overline{w}_n|$ and $k_z(z) \lesssim |I_n|$ (see Lemma 5.4). We have $k_{w_n}(w_n) \asymp |I_n|$ and the measure $\nu = \sum_n |I_n|\, \delta_{w_n}$ is in the class $\mathcal{C}_2(\Theta)$ by Theorem 3.1, (*i*).

If $J \in \mathcal{S}_r$, $r \ge 1$, then, by [28, Theorem 3], we have $\{j_n\} \in \ell^r$,

$$j_n = \Big(\int_{D_n} k_z(z) d\mu(z) \Big)^{1/2}.$$

It remains to note that $j_n \asymp \big(\mu(S(I_n))/|I_n|\big)^{1/2}$ since $k_z(z) \asymp |I_n|^{-1}$, $z \in S(I_n)$. $\bigcirc$

Remarks. 1. Condition $R_{n,m} \in \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, A)$ in Theorem 5.2 means that the distance from the dyadic square $R_{n,m}$ to the level set $\Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon)$ is not much larger than its size. The constant $A = 12\pi + 2$, which appears in the proof of Theorem 5.2, is, by no means, sharp. There is a certain gap between the sufficient condition (38) and the necessary condition (7). We point out that the inclusion $R_{n,m} \in \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, A)$ does not necessarily imply that $R_{n,m} \cap \Omega(\Theta, \varepsilon_1) \neq \emptyset$ for some $\varepsilon_1 \in (0,1)$ independent of n and m.

2. Theorem 1.4 (or, to be presice, its analog for the upper half-plane) extends a theorem of Parfenov on embeddings of the Paley–Wiener spaces [29]: *if* μ *is a measure on* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathcal{J} : PW_a^2 \to L^2(\mu)$, $\mathcal{J} f = f$, *then* $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{S}_r$, $r > 0$, *if and only if*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \big(\mu([n, n+1])\big)^{r/2} < \infty.$$

Note that, for the function $\theta(z) = \exp(iaz)$ in $\mathbb{C}^+$ (which is a CLS inner function in $\mathbb{C}^+$), the intervals $J_n = [n, n+1]$ have the same properties as the arcs I_n in Lemma 3.5; namely that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $dist\big(J_n, \Omega(\theta, \varepsilon)\big)$ is comparable with the length of the interval J_n.

References

[1] P. R. Ahern, D. N. Clark, Radial limits and invariant subspaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **92** (1970), 332-342.

[2] A. B. Aleksandrov, Invariant subspaces of shift operators. An axiomatic approach, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **113** (1981), 7-26; English transl. in *J. Soviet Math.* **22** (1983), 1695-1708.

[3] A. B. Aleksandrov, Inner functions and related spaces of pseudocontinuable functions, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **170** (1989), 7-33; English transl. in *J. Soviet Math.* **63** (1993), 115-129.

[4] A. B. Aleksandrov, Embedding theorems for coinvariant subspaces of the shift operator. II, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **262** (1999), 5-48; English transl. in *J. Math. Sci.* **110** (2002), 2907-2929.

[5] A. D. Baranov, Weighted Bernstein-type inequalities and embedding theorems for shift-coinvariant subspaces, *Algebra i Analiz* **15** (2003), 138-168; English transl. in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **15** (2004), 5, 733-752.

[6] A. D. Baranov, On estimates for the L^p-norms of derivatives in spaces of entire functions, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **303** (2003), 5-33; English. transl. in *J. Math. Sci.* **129** (2005), 4, 3927-3943.

[7] A. D. Baranov, Bernstein-type inequalities for shift-coinvariant subspaces and their applications to Carleson embeddings, *J. Funct. Anal.* **223** (2005), 1, 116-146.

[8] A. D. Baranov, Stability of bases and frames of reproducing kernels in model subspaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **55** (2005), 2399-2422.

[9] O. Blasco, H. Jarchow, A note on Carleson measures for Hardy spaces, *Acta Sci. Math (Szeged)* **71** (2005), 1-2, 371-389.

[10] P. Borwein, T. Erdelyi, Sharp extensions of Bernstein's inequality to rational spaces, *Mathematika* **43** (1996), 413-423.

[11] J. A. Cima, A. L. Matheson, On Carleson embeddings of star-invariant subspaces, *Quaest. Math.* **26** (2003), 3, 279-288.

[12] J. A. Cima, W. T. Ross, *The Backward Shift on the Hardy Space*, Math. Surveys Monogr., 79, AMS, Providence, RI, 2000.

[13] D. N. Clark, One-dimensional perturbations of restricted shifts, *J. Anal. Math.* **25** (1972), 169-191.

[14] W. S. Cohn, Carleson measures for functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **103** (1982), 347-364.

[15] W. S. Cohn, Carleson measures and operators on star-invariant subspaces, *J. Oper. Theory* **15** (1986), 181-202.

[16] W. S. Cohn, Radial limits and star invariant subspaces of bounded mean oscillation, *Amer. J. Math.* **108** (1986), 719-749.

[17] K. M. Dyakonov, Entire functions of exponential type and model subspaces in H^p, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **190** (1991), 81-100; English transl. in *J. Math. Sci.* **71** (1994), 2222-2233.

[18] K. M. Dyakonov, Embedding theorems for star-invariant subspaces generated by smooth inner functions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **157** (1998), 588-598.

[19] K. M. Dyakonov, Differentiation in star-invariant subspaces I: Boundedness and compactness, *J. Funct. Anal.* **192** (2002), 364-386.

[20] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

[21] I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Nauka, Moscow, 1965; English transl.: Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 18, AMS, Providence, RI, 1969.

[22] S. V. Hruscev, N. K. Nikolskii, B. S. Pavlov, Unconditional bases of exponentials and of reproducing kernels, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **864** (1981), 214-335.

[23] M. B. Levin, An estimate of the derivative of a meromorphic function on the boundary of domain, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **15** (1974), 831-834.

[24] D. H. Luecking, Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **73** (1987), 2, 345-368.

[25] N. K. Nikolski, *Treatise on the Shift Operator*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1986.

[26] N. K. Nikolski, *Operators, Functions, and Systems: an Easy Reading. Vol. 2. Model Operators and Systems*, Math. Surveys Monogr., Vol. 93, AMS, Providence, RI, 2002.

[27] F. Nazarov, A. Volberg, The Bellman function, the two-weight Hilbert transform, and embeddings of the model spaces K_Θ, *J. Anal. Math.* **87** (2002), 385-414.

[28] O. G. Parfenov, On properties of imbedding operators of certain classes of analytic functions, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **3** (1992), 425-446.

[29] O. G. Parfenov, Weighted estimates for the Fourier transform, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **222** (1995), 151-162; English transl. in *J. Math. Sci.* **87** (1997), 5, 3878-3885.

[30] S. C. Power, Vanishing Carleson measures, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **12** (1980), 207-210.

[31] A. L. Volberg, Thin and thick families of rational fractions, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **864** (1981), 440-480.

[32] A. L. Volberg, S. R. Treil, Embedding theorems for invariant subspaces of the inverse shift operator, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **149** (1986), 38-51; English transl. in *J. Soviet Math.* **42** (1988), 1562-1572.

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics,
St. Petersburg State University,
28, Universitetskii pr., St. Petersburg,
198504, RUSSIA

E-mail: a.baranov@ev13934.spb.edu